#### **Description:**

The long-range goal of the Department of Agriculture is to guarantee that Idaho agricultural products are of high quality, are disease-free, and meet federal and state laws, rules, and regulations. It is also the goal of this department to protect both the consumer and the producer from fraud, provide assistance to industry in marketing Idaho agricultural products, and improve farm and agriculture business income. The Administration program coordinates the office of the director, financial, payroll, legal, human resources, and information technology functions of the agency.

### **Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:**

- 1. Executive Administration.
  - A. To increase, by 25%, the efficiency within executive administration.

Actual Results						
1999	2000	2001	2002			
5%	5%	5%	15%			
<u> </u>	Projected	l Results				
2003	2004	2005	2006			
20%	25%	n/a	n/a			

### **Program Results and Effect:**

Although not based on any specific statutory authority, administrative support is critical to the overall leadership, coordination, and efficiency of operations of the Department. Administration's objective is to promote and provide quality service to our customers, ensure the judicious use of public funds consistent with the Department's statutory responsibilities, provide efficient and effective information and communication services to internal and external customers, recruit, retain, develop, recognize and promote the fair and equitable treatment of our human resources, and serve as the overall liaison with industry and other public entities.

For more information contact Kelly Nielsen at 332-8514.

# Agriculture, Department of Animal Industries

### **Description:**

The Division of Animal Industries works to ensure healthy and diversified animal industries, safeguard the resources and environment of the state, and ensure a safe and wholesome supply of food and fiber.

### **Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:**

- 1. Conduct disease surveillance, suppression, control and eradication programs.
  - A. Conduct surveillance for all program diseases.

	Actual Results					
	1999	2000	2001	2002		
1,	992,475	2,140,289	2,341,864	2,145,902		
		Projected	d Results			
	2003	2004	2005	2006		
2	,100,000	2,200,000	2,300,000	2,200,000		

B. Investigate suspected disease situations and test animals and herds identified by surveillance activities as potentially diseased.

Actual Results							
1999	2000	2001	2002				
963	1,679	1,497	272				
	Projected	l Results					
2003	2004	2005	2006				
1,400	1,500	1,500	1,200				

C. Conduct licensing, inspection, and certification for compliance with state or federal law.

	Actual Results					
1999	2000	2001	2002			
194	311	221	361			
	Projecte	d Results				
2003	2004	2005	2006			
300	300	300	360			

- 2. Conduct sanitary inspection of dairy production facilities and grading of dairy and egg products.
  - A. Inspect all dairy farms and plants for compliance with sanitation, quality, and wholesomeness standards. Inspection and grading of egg producing and retail facilities.

Actual Results					
1999	2000	2001	2002		
5,055	5,420	4,244	3,834		
	Projected	d Results			
2003	2004	2005	2006		
3,500	3,700	3,600	3,500		

B. Issue permits to all qualified dairies and permits or licenses to dairy plants and bulk haulers and egg distributors and handlers.

	Actual Results					
1999	2000	2001	2002			
137	199	410	450			
	Projected	d Results				
2003	2004	2005	2006			
400	400	400	400			

C. Conduct grading of dairy and egg products to assure compliance with standards.

	Actual Results					
1999	2000	2001	2002			
1,991,558	21,351,487	72,607,260	59,284,658			
	Projected	d Results				
2003	2004	2005	2006			
70,000,000	50,000,000	30,000,000	30,000,000			

- 3. Conduct the dairy waste management program.
  - A. Inspect and approve all dairy waste management systems for compliance with state and federal laws.

	Actual Results					
1999	2000	2001	2002			
2,993	2,462	3,253	2,131			
	Projected	d Results				
2003	2004	2005	2006			
2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000			

- 4. Conduct disease detection, control, import and export testing programs; and finished dairy product testing program.
  - A. Perform disease tests on animals and animal tissues for regulatory diseases, to meet import/export requirements and for zoonotic diseases.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
321,766	322,482	330,893	277,008
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
340,000	340,000	330,000	290,000

B. Perform tests on finished dairy products for quality, presence of adulterants and to determine producer payment.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
3,127	4,285	4,279	4,391
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
4,400	4,500	4,500	4,500

- 5. Foster scientifically, economically and environmentally sound range management practices.
  - A. Provide leadership in development and implementation of community collaborative efforts to improve management of rangelands.

Actual Results							
1999	2000	2001	2002				
5	4	4	7				
	Projected	d Results					
2003	2004	2005	2006				
5	5	4	10				

# Agriculture, Department of Animal Industries

B. Coordinate and collaborate with industry and agencies to resolve conflicts relative to public land grazing.

	Actual Results						
1999	2000	2001	2002				
50	62	47	60				
	Projected	d Results					
2003	2004	2005	2006				
60	50	50	75				

- 6. Foster sound animal care and handling practices and administer the animal care program.
  - A. Investigate cases of alleged animal abuse.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
108	80	98	159
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
125	140	140	180

B. Cooperate with local and county officials in handling of animal abuse cases.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
85	80	78	80
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
85	80	80	80

C. Educate animal owners and citizens of the state on acceptable animal care, handling and use practices.

	Actual	Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002			
65	70	70	70			
	Projected	d Results				
2003	2003 2004 2005 2006					
75	75	75	70			

- 7. Implement the Beef Cattle Environmental Control Act.
  - A. Inspect and approve beef cattle waste management systems for compliance with state and federal laws.

	Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002		
		100	250		
	Projecte	d Results			
2003	2004	2005	2006		
300	300	300	300		

- 8. Implement agriculture odor management plan.
  - A. conduct odor inspections of agricultural operations

	Actual R	esults	
1999	2000	2001	2002
			450
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
300	350	350	350

#### B. Develop and implement odor management plans.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
			2
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
4	3	3	3

#### **Program Results and Effect:**

The Division of Animal Industries conducts disease surveillance, control and eradication efforts for a number of diseases of importance to the livestock industries, some of which are also diseases of man. The Division also conducts dairy farm, milk processor and shell egg inspection programs to assure adherence to standards for purity, quality, and wholesomeness of dairy and egg products. In addition, the Division is responsible for the dairy waste management program, which assures that dairy farms do not discharge pollutants into ground or surface water. The Division continues to and is implementing nutrient management plans and monitoring programs to provide agronomic land applications of nutrients. In addition, the Division is testing wells on dairy farms for nitrate and bacteria levels to identify possible sources of contaminants.

During the 2001 Legislative session, authority and responsibility for management of agricultural odor issues was provided to the Division. The Division has initiated odor management rulemaking and is investigating odor complaints and implementing provisions of the Agriculture Odor Management Act to address odor issues.

Under the range program, the Division works with the livestock industry and other agencies in development and implementation of sound range management practices to safeguard and improve natural resources of the State. The Division oversees the noxious weed management program and the application of the state noxious weed law and the weed-free forage and straw certification program.

The Division also collaborates with local and county officials in investigation of cases of alleged animal abuse, and where warranted, assists in development of cases and prosecution of violators.

As result of these programs the State is classified free of Brucellosis, Tuberculosis and Pseudorabies; the level of infection with trichomoniasis and Equine Infectious Anemia and a number of other diseases has been reduced; the quality and safety of dairy and egg products is assured for Idaho citizens; food borne pathogens of animal origin are being identified and preventive measures initiated to safeguard Idaho citizens; collaborative efforts have been initiated in a number of areas of the State to improve rangelands and mitigate conflicts relative to the multiple use of public lands; and the care and handling of the animals of the state has been improved. The effect of these programs is a healthier animal population, abundant, safe, and wholesome supply of foods of animal origin, improved marketability of Idaho livestock, and improved condition of natural resources of the State.

For more information contact Kelly Nielsen at 332-8514.

# Agriculture, Department of Agricultural Resources

#### **Description:**

This Division registers pesticides. It also licenses pesticide applicators and chemigators, and certifies they are qualified through testing and attendance at certified seminars, etc. The division also ensures compliance with both federal and state laws and rules governing pesticide use. Other programs include ground and surface water, worker protection, chemigators, endangered species, unusable pesticide disposal, chipping and recycling used plastic pesticide containers, and record keeping. A new program called urban Pesticide Management/Integrated Pest Management was added by 2001 Legislative action.

### **Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:**

- 1. Registration of pesticides.
  - A. Review and registration of applications for pesticide registration.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
8,500	8,617	8,598	9,000
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000

- 2. Pesticide applicator and dealer licenses.
  - A. Pesticide applicator licenses.

	Actual I	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
8,689	8,278	7,451	7,515
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
7,550	8,800	8,800	8,800

B. Develop and implement updated training materials and tests.

	Actual I	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	I Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

C. Develop and implement a pesticide applicator training program.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

D. Seminars approved.

Actual	Results	
2000	2001	2002
697	731	864
Projected	d Results	
2004	2005	2006
870	870	870
	2000 697 Projected 2004	697 731  Projected Results 2004 2005

# 3. Pesticide inspections and investigations.

# A. Pesticide inspections and investigations.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
400	231	170	365
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
250	250	250	250

# B. Chemigation inspections.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
260	271	332	265
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
250	250	250	250

#### 4. Ground and surface waters.

### A. Ground water sampling.

	Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002		
2,796	3,503	2,939	4,066		
	Projected	d Results			
2003	2004	2005	2006		
6,000	6,000	6,000	4,500		

# B. Ground water analyses.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
52,192	16,905	29,862	46,215
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
30,000	30,000	30,000	40,000

#### C. Educational sessions.

	Actual I	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
25	21	26	27
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
30	30	30	30

# D. Ground and surface water pesticide investigations.

	Actual F	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
3	4	8	3
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
5	5	5	5

# Agriculture, Department of Agricultural Resources

### E. Surface water/BMP assessments.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
5	9	1	4
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
6	6	6	6

# F. Surface water samples collected.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
824	906	1,162	2,233
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500

# G. Surface water analyses completed.

	Actual F	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
8,240	1,646	1,936	11,602
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000

# H. Will conduct statewide groundwater sampling follow-ups. (sampling/analysis)

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
75/1,200	400/3,080	200/3,000	440/1,760
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
200/3,000	200/3,000	200/3,000	200/3,000

# I. TMDL Implementation plan finalized with SCC and SCD's.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
15%	10%	10%	10%
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
10%	10%	10%	10%

### 5. Worker protection.

### A. Worker protection training sessions.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
23	23	20	12
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
20	20	20	20

# B. Worker protection inspections.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
118	105	113	152
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
80	80	80	80

# 6. Endangered Species.

A. Section 18/24c's reviewed for impacts to endangered species.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
30	39	40	43
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
40	40	40	40

# 7. Unusable pesticides disposal.

A. Waste pesticides disposed. (pounds)

	Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002		
38,525	32,342	94,824	93,580		
	Projected	d Results			
2003	2004	2005	2006		
90,000	85,000	80,000	80,000		

# B. Containers recycled (pounds).

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
67,438	90,611	79,366	84,266
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
90,000	100,000	100,000	110,000

### 8. Record keeping program.

A. Private applicator records reviewed for compliance.

	Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002		
201	202	189	192		
	Projected	l Results			
2003	2004	2005	2006		
196	200	205	207		

### B. Follow-up.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
15	14	12	2
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
8	12	14	16

# Agriculture, Department of Agricultural Resources

- 9. Outreach and education.
  - A. Presentations, press releases, PSA's, brochures, etc.

	Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002		
100%	100%	100%	100%		
	Projected	l Results			
2003	2004	2005	2006		
100%	100%	100%	100%		

B. Technical and analytical resources/response to public, industry, etc.

	Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002		
100%	100%	100%	100%		
	Projecte	d Results			
2003	2004	2005	2006		
100%	100%	100%	100%		

C. Develop, implement, and maintain an Internet information base.

	Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002		
50%	50%	50%	100%		
	Projecte	d Results			
2003	2004	2005	2006		
100%	100%	100%	100%		

#### **Program Results and Effect:**

The goal of the Division of Agricultural Resources is to protect public health, the environment, animals, and wildlife species of the State from possible adverse effects which could result from the improper use of pesticides. The Division is also charged with monitoring agriculture's impact on humans and the environment, including programs for the protection of ground and surface water, agricultural workers, endangered species, chemigation, and pesticide residue testing. These goals are accomplished through a major education program and regulation of the sale and use of pesticides. The Division participates in numerous public and governmental programs aimed at reducing adverse effects that may result from agricultural or urban practices involving the use of pesticides.

For more information contact Kelly Nielsen at 332-8514.

#### **Description:**

The Division of Plant Industries works to protect the interests of the plant industry and the general public.

# **Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:**

- 1. Assure the quality of commercial feeds offered for sale in Idaho.
  - A. Review/registration of feed labels and products.

	Actual Results					
1999	2000	2001	2002			
6,580	7,077	7,705	7,690			
	Projected	d Results				
2003	2004	2005	2006			
7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500			

B. Collect commercial feed samples.

Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002	
1,119	1,074	1,064	786	
	Projected	d Results		
2003	2004	2005	2006	
1,160	1,160	1,160	1,160	

C. Perform analytical tests on commercial feeds.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
3,818	3,712	3,895	2,888
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000

- 2. Assure the quality of nursery stock sold in Idaho.
  - A. Issue Nurseryman/Florist licenses.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
1,295	1,492	1,442	1,648
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400

B. Nursery inspections.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
1,023	1,042	1,024	963
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050

# Agriculture, Department of Plant Industries

- 3. Honey bee program.
  - A. Process apiary registrations.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
76	74	66	73
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
75	75	75	75

B. Inspect registered apiaries.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
1	3	3	3
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
5	5	5	5

- 4. Assure disease free condition of plants and plant products for export through phytosanitary certification.
  - A. Field inspections acres.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
85,272	91,130	74,000	60,493
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
95,000	95,000	95,000	95,000

B. Issue phytosanitary certificates.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
8,870	8,971	8,497	7,685
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000

C. Collect plant pathology laboratory samples.

	Actual R	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
900	1,256	1,197	1,297
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500

D. Perform analytical tests on plant products.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
1,960	2,578	2,497	2,172
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800

- 5. Plant pest and disease detection surveys and eradication programs.
  - A. Set up insect traps in selected and random locations.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
2,342	2,345	2,201	2,262
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
2,750	2,750	2,750	2,750

- 6. Assure the quality of seeds sold in Idaho.
  - A. Collect seed samples for compliance to Idaho Pure Seed Law, Idaho Noxious Weed Law, Idaho Feed Law, and Referee testing.

	Actual I	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
315	221	141	130
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
150	150	150	150

B. Perform purity, germination, and special testing of certified seeds for Idaho Crop Improvement Association and uncertified seeds for the seed industry.

	Actua	al Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
19,262	20,311	20,171	16,194
	Project	ed Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000

C. Issue seed dealers licenses.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
582	600	576	576
<u> </u>	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
580	580	580	580

- 7. Assure the quality of commercial fertilizers offered for sale in Idaho.
  - A. Review/registration of fertilizer labels and products.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
1,551	253	2,914	3,189
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000

B. Collect commercial fertilizer samples.

	Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002		
416	414	280	305		
	Projecte	d Results			
2003	2004	2005	2006		
400	400	400	400		

# Agriculture, Department of Plant Industries

C. Perform analytical tests on commercial fertilizers.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
1,382	1,519	1,295	1,229
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600

- 8. Assure the quality of soil and plant amendments offered for sale in Idaho.
  - A. Review/registration of soil and plant amendment labels and products.

	Actual I	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
153	109	236	177
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
250	250	250	250

B. Collect soil and plant amendment samples.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
	24	19	2
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
50	50	50	50

C. Perform analytical tests on soil and plant amendments.

	Actual I	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
	60	117	2
	Projected	Results	<u> </u>
2003	2004	2005	2006
100	100	100	100

#### **Program Results and Effect:**

Plant Pathology: Bean seeds grown west of the Continental Divide to be planted in Idaho must successfully pass laboratory tests conducted by the Plant Pathology Lab from samples drawn in the state of Idaho by the Department of Agriculture Inspectors. The Plant Pathology Lab also provides plant and plant products testing following the phytosanitary certification requirements of the importing country. The lab also provides testing for exotic plant diseases. Additionally, Division personnel field or laboratory inspect 23 different crop and other commodities for export to other states and more than 140 foreign countries.

Feeds, Fertilizers and Soil & Plant Amendments: Commercial feed samples are drawn by the Department of Agriculture Inspectors and tested in the Feed Lab against ingredient guarantees stated in the label. Commercial feeds and fertilizers in violation of the Idaho Commercial Feed Law are subject to stop-sale orders and/or a monetary penalty.

Nurseries and Florists: Inspections are conducted annually for freedom from pests and diseases, in order to protect Idaho agriculture and the public from the introduction of exotic pests and spread of established pests. 5,312 inspections were conducted for compliance with eighteen quarantine or special pest surveys. 153 incidents were encountered 112 requiring corrective action and 39 necessitating a stop-sale order.

Pest Surveys: Special surveys were conducted for the detection of 14 pests and diseases, including grasshoppers and Mormon crickets. Surveys are conducted in an attempt to detect the establishment of exotic pests early so that they may be cheaply eradicated. In addition, the movement of established pests of economic or quarantine significance is also monitored for compliance with the quarantine of other states and countries or in order to predict outbreaks.

State Seed Laboratory: The Seed Lab is the only Association of Official Seed Analyst (AOSA) seed testing laboratory in Idaho. The Seed Lab enforces the Idaho Pure Seed Law. The Seed Lab offers germination, tetrazolium, purity, noxious weed, cold and other special tests for over 300 different crops to the Idaho Seed Industry and the Pacific Northwest Seed industry. Field beans, alfalfa, garden beans, wheat, barley, Kentucky bluegrass, garden peas, sagebrush, rapeseed/canola, and onion represent approximately 80% of the service seed samples tested annually. The Seed Lab receives service seed samples from Idaho and ten other states. Approximately 75% of service seed samples received are from Idaho seed companies and 25% from the other states and Canada. Seeds for the domestic seed markets are tested following procedures approved by AOSA. Seeds for the Canadian markets are tested following procedures approved by the international Seed Testing Association.

For more information contact Kelly Nielsen at 332-8514.

# Agriculture, Department of Agricultural Inspections

#### **Description:**

The Bonded Warehouse program is the licensing authority for the bonded warehouses in the State of Idaho. Bonded warehouses receive commodities from producers to either store and/or market for them. By conducting warehouse examinations at the warehouses, protection is provided for the producers depositing commodity. Examinations assure the producers the warehouse has maintained its obligations.

In addition commodity dealers are also licensed. The Commodity Dealer law specifies that grain, dry peas, dry beans, leguminous or other small seeds are covered commodities and anyone purchasing these commodities for resale shall be licensed. The difference between a bonded warehouse and commodity dealer is that the warehouse stores grain commodities, generally a commodity dealer does not have storage capabilities.

The Bureau of Weights & Measures administers a program for inspections and testing of all weighing and measuring devices used in commercial transactions which provide confidence in the market place and provides equity for all citizens of the State, whether buyer, seller, or consumer. Maintain a Metrology laboratory, to provide traceable mass, volume and length measurements.

The Bureau of Shipping Point Inspection (FF&V) is an inspection and grading program that provides an effective and reliable service using common language from farm to market for the buying and selling of fresh fruits and vegetables. This also applies to the marketing of fresh products for processing. The Bureau also conducts 3rd party auditing services for Good Agriculture Practices (GAPs) and Good Manufacturing Practices (GMPs) to the fruit and vegetable industry.

The Dealer in Farm Produce law specifies that all agricultural, horticultural, viticultural, and vegetable products of the soil, poultry and poultry products, and apiary products are covered commodities and anyone purchasing these commodities from the producer for resale shall be licensed. This law generally covers commodities not addressed in the commodity dealer law: example, potatoes, fruits, vegetables, and hay.

#### Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

- 1. License all companies engaged in the commodity warehouse business.
  - A. 100% target for licensing warehouses in Idaho.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Provide follow-up for licensees dropping license.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

C. Maintain contact reports until potential licensee is either licensed or documentation is received indicating no need to license.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

3. Provide expertise to licensees, commodity commissions and producers.

A. Seek out opportunities to incorporate the examination process to assist other agencies.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
Plus 6	5	5	5
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
5	5	5	5

B. Provide producer information in a timely manner in disputes with licensees, same day service.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs
<u> </u>	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs

C. Assist warehouses with improvement of records and warehousing practices.

	Actual I	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

D. Continue to support the commodity commissions with assistance in quality programs.

	Actual Results					
1999	2000	2001	2002			
100%	100%	100%	100%			
	Projecte	d Results				
2003	2004	2005	2006			
100%	100%	100%	100%			

- 4. License all companies or individuals engaged in the commodity dealer business.
  - A. 100% target for licensing dealers in Idaho.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Reduce penalty fees for late license renewals to zero.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
0 late	0 late	1 late	0 late
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
0 late	0 late	0 late	0 late

# Agriculture, Department of Agricultural Inspections

C. Establish a consistent examination process.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
95%	100%	100%	100%
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

- 5. Inspect and test all commercial weighing and measuring devices.
  - A. Inspect and test all known commercially used weighing and measuring devices.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
95%	95%	95%	95%
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
95%	95%	95%	95%

B. Provide follow-up re-checks on all rejected devices.

	Actual F	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
·	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

C. Develop a program for octane testing of gasoline pumps.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
30%	30%	30%	30%
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
30%	30%	30%	30%

- 6. Provide interpretation of Weights & Measures' laws, rules, and policies.
  - A. Provide information to public concerning weights and measures issues.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Assist industry with technical interpretation of laws, rules and NCWM policies within 12 hours.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
12 hrs	12 hrs	12 hrs	12 hrs
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
12 hrs	12 hrs	12 hrs	12hrs

C. Conduct training and education of staff. Participate in NCWM and WCWM Conferences at least semi-annually.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
semi-annual	semi-annual	semi-annual	semi-annual
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
semi-annual	semi-annual	semi-annual	

- 7. Maintain traceability of metrology laboratory with National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The laboratory traceability is the legal basis for Idaho's weights and measures program.
  - A. Maintain Idaho Metrology Lab certification by planning for future federal requirements.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Continue training and participation in the Western Region Assurance Program.

	Actual F	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
12 hrs	12 hrs	12 hrs	12 hrs
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
12 hrs	12 hrs	12 hrs	12hrs

C. Seek to make improvements to meet NAVLAB requirements for certification. This certification will minimize costs for future requirements on maintaining a laboratory.

	Actual I	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
semi-annual	semi-annual	annual	annual
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
annual	annual	annual	annual

- 8. License weighmasters.
  - A. License all those required to be licensed by statute.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Issue license within 24 hours of receipt of application.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs

# Agriculture, Department of Agricultural Inspections

C. Provide licensing materials to first time applicants within 24 hours of contact.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
24 hrs	24 hrs	24 hrs	

- 9. Provide original licensing and annual renewal for dealers.
  - A. 100% target for licensing dealers in Idaho.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Improve contact response from potential licensees.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
98%	98%	98%	99%
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

C. Maintain documentation process for licensees going out of business.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

- 10. Charter all livestock markets in Idaho.
  - A. Provide training to Warehouse Control personnel to assume increased responsibility under this act.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
90%	95%	100%	100%
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%		

- 11. Provide inspection services to applicants requesting such service.
  - A. Maintain a 100% level of providing inspection service to all who request such service.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
·	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

B. Maintain a financially sound organization for the benefit of applicants.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
110%	115%	120%	125%
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
125%	125%	125%	125%

C. Develop alternative types of inspections acceptable to USDA and the applicants.

	Actual I	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
2	2	2	2
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
2	3	3	1

D. Develop electronic means of assisting in the grading process.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
2	2	1	1
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
1	2	2	1

E. Ensure accuracy of 100% in writing of inspection certificates.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100%	100%	100%	100%
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100%	100%	100%	100%

- 12. Provide a well trained, competent staff.
  - B. Train & License additional staff as needed for the purpose of assisting in the writing a phytosanitary certificates.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
8	8	8	10
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
12	15	15	5

C. Develop a career growth program targeting 5% of our full time FF&V staff.

	Actua	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
10	10	25	25
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
30	40	40	40

# Agriculture, Department of Agricultural Inspections

#### **Program Results and Effect:**

Bonded warehouse provides producers of Idaho protection from financial disaster in the event of a warehouse failure through the Commodity Indemnity Fund Program (CIFP), a fund established to provide rapid payment to the producers in the event of a warehouse failure. The examination process has evolved into an efficient program by utilizing lap top computers and has saved time and tax dollars. We have downsized our personnel through attrition and will continue to explore avenues to provide superior service to our producers and licensees. The negative effect of the program is the potential to shut down a warehouse due to financial instability, even though it may be necessary, the perception is negative.

The commodity dealer program provides protection for Idaho producers who sell commodity to truckers, individuals and companies. The licensees are required to submit financial statements and provide bonding in order to do business in Idaho. The program falls under the umbrella of the Commodity Indemnity Fund Program (CIFP) and provides rapid payment to producers caught in a commodity dealer insolvency. The program shadows the bonded warehouses and is also computerized in the examination and licensing process.

The testing of commercial devices offers a level playing field to both buyers and sellers and a statewide standard of acceptance for weighing and measuring devices. The correct interpretation of weights & measures' laws is particularly beneficial to start up businesses, but is equally valuable to the scale industry and the general public. The Weights & Measures laboratory is a link to the standards traceable to the recognized international standard in Paris. Licensing of weighmasters presents a common method of issuing scale tickets. The weighmaster's signature provides a complete transaction.

The Bureau of Shipping Point Inspection (FF&V) is providing inspection and grading services to over 250 applicants who market over 13 billion pounds of fruits and vegetables produced annually in the State of Idaho. This program provides nationwide inspection and grading services to shippers, processors, sellers, buyers, government procurement agencies and other financially interested parties on a "user fee basis." The service is made available upon request or when specified by a special program on contract. Idaho operates under a potato and onion marketing order which does require mandatory inspection. This program contributes greatly to the success of Idaho being recognized world wide as the producer of exceptional potatoes.

The Dealer in Farm Produce program provides protection for Idaho producers selling commodity to truckers, individuals, and companies. The licensees are required to submit bonds and in some cases provide financial statements. The exposure to our producers in this area is extreme. The bureau provides the producers with an avenue to solve problems with the licensee and also a contact point tips on anyone operating without a license.

For more information contact Kelly Nielsen at 332-8514.

#### **Description:**

The marketing section's mission is to expand domestic and international markets for Idaho food and agriculture products. Marketing also manages the Idaho-Mexico trade office and the market news office in Idaho Falls.

### **Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:**

- 1. Promote Idaho agriculture (commodities, farmers' markets, value-added food processors).
  - A. Lead domestic and international missions.

	Actual F	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
2	5	4	3
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
4	4	4	4

B. Participate in targeted domestic and international trade shows

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
3	6	5	5
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
5	5	5	5

C. Develop promotional events and materials

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
8	8	10	9
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
10	10	10	10

- 2. Educate and train Idaho companies on marketing and agricultural diversification.
  - A. Disseminate market information and reports. \*The method of counting changed this year.

	Actua	l Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
51,234	117,695	109,684	115,713
	Projecte	ed Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
110,000	110,000	110,000	110,000

B. Organize and speak at educational conferences.

	Actual F	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
15	20	22	21
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
20	20	20	20

# Agriculture, Department of Marketing and Development

C. Publish informational manuals and newsletters.

	Actual I	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
3	3	3	4
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
6	6	6	6

D. Respond to customer requests for information.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
510	537	528	575
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
600	600	600	600

E. Provide technical assistance to individuals and organizations.

	Actual F	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
246	270	178	194
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
200	200	200	200

- 3. Respond to trade leads and develop buyer contacts.
  - A. Host buyer delegations and high level officials.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
14	14	12	16
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
15	15	15	15

B. Distribute trade leads to Idaho companies.

	Actual F	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
350	330	370	345
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
375	375	375	375

C. Compile buyer databases and directories.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
3	3	3	2
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
4	4	4	4

- 4. Leverage resources to promote Idaho commodities and value-added products.
  - A. Apply for MAP funds (USDA Market Assistance Program). and EMO funds (USDA emerging markets)

	Actua	l Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
\$145,000	\$159,900	\$156,000	\$206,000
<u> </u>	Projecte	ed Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
\$175,000	\$175,000	\$175,000	\$175,000

B. Apply for FSMIP funds (USDA Federal-State Market Improvement Program)

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
0	\$25,000	\$80,000	\$20,000
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
\$35,000	\$35,000	\$35,000	\$35,000

- 5. Manage the Agriculture Development Loan Program.
  - A. Provide loans to Idaho agriculture.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
0	\$70,000	\$70,000	0
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
\$45,000	\$55,000	\$65,000	\$65,000

- 6. Determine and address trade barriers for Idaho products.
  - A. Identify trade barriers.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
25	74	87	100
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
110	110	110	110

B. Issue certificates of free sale to meet import requirements.

	Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002		
55	120	90	571		
	Projecte	d Results			
2003	2004	2005	2006		
500	500	500	500		

# Agriculture, Department of Marketing and Development

#### **Program Results and Effect:**

Agriculture Marketing and Development will expand domestic and international markets for Idaho food and agriculture by responding to the needs of our customers. We assist Idaho producers by providing educational and technical assistance in numerous areas including packaging, labeling, transportation, handling, processing, promotion, foreign and domestic market development, and market information. Our goal is to provide services that ultimately increase the profits for our customers.

We recognize that exports are essential for the continued growth and success of Idaho agriculture, therefore we are working to identify and address trade barriers for Idaho agricultural products. We issue certificates of free sale to assist companies in meeting import requirements in foreign markets. We have had significant impact on export growth to Mexico and Central America through the Mexico trade office that we manage. This export growth has been in both agricultural and non-agricultural products and is funded and serviced by the Department of Agriculture and Commerce.

Marketing and Development is customer driven and will continuously adjust to meet anticipated changes in the business cycle. We recognize that technology offers additional opportunities for service to our customers. We continue to develop and maintain buyer and seller databases to assist companies to establish new business relationships. Our goal is to utilize and make this additional information readily available to our customers and those interested in doing business with Idaho agricultural producers.

For more information contact Kelly Nielsen at 332-8514.

#### **Description:**

COMMISSION OPERATIONS: Provides technical, administrative, financial, and personnel support, provides oversight and leadership to Commission members and staff enabling the organization to meet federal mandates, voluntary state program goals and local District natural resource priorities.

DISTRICT PROGRAM DELIVERY: Provides administrative, financial, and personnel guidance to District Supervisors and employees in their efforts to address local natural resources concerns.

WATER QUALITY: Through application of Idaho's Ag Pollution Abatement Plan process the program reduces agricultural and other nonpoint source pollutants to water and water resources to acceptable levels mandated by Idaho Code and the Federal Clean Water Act.

SOIL SURVEY: Provides complete soils information essential for all land management activities through efforts of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RANGELAND DEVELOPMENT: Administers conservation based loans and grants to applicants which might not otherwise implement change or new ideas benefiting natural resources and the local economy.

### **Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:**

- Technical Assistance and oversight provided in cooperation with and through the USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service.
  - A. Conservation Systems planned on cropland (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
104,600	100,000	106,389	85,565
-	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000

B. Conservation Systems implemented on cropland (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
69,735	80,000	119,604	113,409
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
130,000	130,000	130,000	130,000

C. Conservation Systems planned on other land uses (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
69,900	100,000	67,978	68,000
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
120,000	120,000	120,000	

D. Conservation Systems implemented on other land uses (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
10,000	35,000	20,933	1,068
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
40,000	50,000	55,000	30,000

E. Cropland with Conservation or Reduced Tillage applied (acres).

	Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002		
34,600	35,000	30,112	43,957		
<u> </u>	Projecte	d Results			
2003	2004	2005	2006		
40,000	40,000	40,000	40,000		

F. Conservation Systems with buffers planned or implemented (acres).

	Actual Results					
1999	2000	2001	2002			
14,500	13,000	645	2,223			
	Projected	Results				
2003	2004	2005	2006			
3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000			

G. Grazing/Pasture Management Systems Planned (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
156,000	40,000	59,336	76,186
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
70,000	80,000	80,000	80,000

H. Grazing/Pasture Management Systems implemented (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
103,300	75,000	45,633	111,638
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000

J. Riparian Acres Implemented with protection, restoration, enhancement, or creation (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
769	1,200	516	11,940
<u> </u>	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
900	1,000	1,000	1,000

K. Nutrient Management Systems planned (number).

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
		218	37
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
200	300	400	500

L. Nutrient Management Systems implemented (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
5,900	9,500	23,228	22,812
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
60,000	70,000	80,000	90,000

M. Erosion Reduction Achieved (Tons/Year).

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
561,300	60,000	576,039	795,561
<u> </u>	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000

N. Erosion Reduction Measures implemented (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
52,700	60,000	66,305	67,814
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
80,000	90,000	90,000	90,000

O. Wetlands - Created, restored or enhanced (acres).

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
		1,541	1,477
·	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
2,300	2,500	2,500	2,500

P. Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) - Private Ag land removed from tillage induced erosion through financial incentive for a contractual time period. (Acres)

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
690,000	775,000	796,461	850,000
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
900,000	950,000	950,000	950,000

Q. Technical Assistance to Districts - SCC Water Quality Resource Conservationists (WQRC's) placed in a single or multiple districts to provide technical assistance.

	Actua	l Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
14	14	10	9
	Projecte	ed Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
10	10	10	10

- 2. Administrative Support and Leadership Providing staff and district support and direction.
  - A. Commission Meetings Conduct bi-monthly meetings, and special meetings (teleconferences) to discuss issues, needs, and concerns, provide staff direction, meet mandates and program needs of Commission and Districts.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
10	12	14	9
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
14	14	10	10

B. Publications informing districts and public of natural resource concerns, needs, and program efforts (includes formal memos to Districts).

	Actual I	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
2	4	30	30
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
30	30	30	30

- 3. Financial Administration Providing financial planning review, implementation, and guidance to Commission and districts.
  - A. Administer state funding to districts Allocate appropriated funds as mandated.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
51	51	51	51
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
51	51	51	51

B. Resource Conservation and Development (RC&D) measures adopted.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
100	90	90	90
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
90	90	90	90

C. RC&D measures completed.

	Actual F	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
70	70	65	65
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
65	65	65	65

D. Total value of RC&D resource measures assistance.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
\$630,000	\$630,000	\$520,000	\$520,000
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
\$500,000	\$450,000	\$450,000	\$450,000

E. Administer and conduct Environmental Quality Program education assistance projects through Conservation Districts.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
29	20	25	25
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
25	25	25	25

- 4. Personnel Management Providing support and leadership to Commission members and staff.
  - A. Employee training opportunities provided to staff by other state agencies.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
5	4	4	4
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
4	4	4	4

B. State employee training provided to other state agencies by Commission staff.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
20	15	15	15
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
5	5	7	10

C. Computer/Communications equipment purchased.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
6	6	6	0
	Projecte	d Results	'
2003	2004	2005	2006
3	4	5	6

- 5. Soil Conservation District Capacity Provide assistance to districts in becoming proficient in recognizing their roles, accomplishing their responsibilities, and exercising their powers.
  - A. Provide direct assistance to every district.

	Actual R	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
51	51	51	51
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
51	51	51	51

B. Conduct Supervisor responsibility training (supervisors).

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
45	100	40	50
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
40	15	40	15

C. Assist in implementation of combined Five Year/Annual work plan.

	Actual R	lesults	
1999	2000	2001	2002
51	51	51	51
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
51	51	51	51

D. Provide assistance in conduction of district Supervisor Elections.

	Actual F	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
0	51	0	51
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
0	51	0	51

E. Support development and implementation of district information and education programs.

	Actual F	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
0	9	15	15
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
15	15	15	15

F. Implement Idaho "One Plan" pilot project. (Districts)

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
0	1	1	1
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
1	1	2	2

G. Districts with Idaho "One Plan" access.

	Actual F	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
27	24	51	51
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
51	51	51	51

H. Conduct district policy development workshop.

	Actual	Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002			
0	2	6	6			
	Projecte	d Results				
2003	2003 2004 2005 2006					
6	6	6	6			

- 6. Financial Affairs and Processes Understanding and implementing processes of financial integrity, accounting, and protection of assets.
  - A. Conduct district internal financial reviews.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
10	26	25	10
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
10	10	10	10

B. Administer District Liability Insurance and fidelity bonding.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
51	51	51	51
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
51	51	51	51

- 7. District personnel guidance and assistance provided.
  - A. Conduct district employee supervision workshops for district supervisors.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
6	6	6	6
·	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
6	6	6	6

B. Conduct district employee training in roles and responsibilities.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
8	8	6	6
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
6	6	6	6

C. Provide assistance in development of district staffing plans and implementation.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
3	3	4	6
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
6	6	6	6

- 8. Improve the effectiveness of Commission staff addressing water quality issues through training, coordination, staffing plans, financial development, and strategic planning.
  - A. All personnel conferences.

	Actual	Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002			
1	0	0	0			
	Projecte	d Results				
2003	2003 2004 2005 2006					
0	1	0	1			

B. Individual water quality training plans developed.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
15	15	14	16
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
15	15	15	15

C. Complete annual workload analysis, staff plans and equipment supply inventories.

	Actual F	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
1	16	14	23
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
23	23	23	23

D. Negotiate and secure financing for IASCD technical assistance. Positions (yearly)

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
6	6	7	8
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
19	19	19	19

E. Conduct and evaluate project reviews.

	Actual I	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
38	30	0	14
	Projected	l Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
16	20	20	20

- Strengthen Commission and District capacity to achieve state and local water quality goals and other major natural resource goals through identification and prioritization of concerns, securing needed resources, providing oversight and accountability, and identifying appropriate state and federal programs.
  - A. Administer Upper Salmon Basin Watershed Project and Clearwater Focus Watershed.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
2	2	2	2
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
2	2	2	2

B. P.L. 566 planning projects in progress.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
2	3	1	1
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
1	1	1	1

C. P.L. 566 implementation projects ongoing.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
11	7	3	4
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
4	5	5	5

D. TMDL Implementation Plans initiated for agriculture and grazing on 303(d) listed water bodies.

	Actual F	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
7	25	15	15
	Projected	Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
12	10	12	10

E. TMDL Implementation plans for agriculture and grazing on 303(d) listed water bodies.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
38	42	12	10
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
10	10	10	10

F. Acres of rangeland to be treated as part of a TMDL.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
74,590	77,760	na	na
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
na	na	na	na

G. GLCI agreements with districts with SCC oversight.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
2	3	3	3
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
3	3	3	3

- 10. Enhance interagency relationships and coordination efforts to integrate multiple programs by discussion and cooperative training.
  - A. Inter-agency administration/technical information meetings. (TMDL Meetings)

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
30	30	30	25
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
25	25	25	25

B. Interagency water quality workshops and field tours.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
7	20	25	30
<u>.</u>	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
20	10	10	10

C. Cooperative integration of water quality programs.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
12	16	14	15
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
17	20	20	20

- 11. Enhance water quality programs I & E support to districts through dissemination of policy, guidance and interagency program integration.
  - A. Commission I &E work plan developed.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
1	0	1	3
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
1	1	1	1

B. District water quality I & E work plans, training and coordination cooperatively implemented with Commission.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
12	12	12	12
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
8	6	6	6

- 12. Strengthen water quality monitoring and evaluation efforts.
  - A. Assist districts with development, implementation, and review of water quality monitoring plans.

Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002	
8	17	20	20	
	Projecte	d Results		
2003	2004	2005	2006	
20	10	10	10	

B. Water quality monitoring projects evaluated.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
20	6	20	20
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
20	20	20	20

- 13. Survey state and private acres.
  - A. Sample document, and map soils on federally managed lands.

	Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002		
4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000		
	Projecte	d Results			
2003	2004	2005	2006		
4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000		

- 14. Provide complete modern soil surveys for all counties in Idaho.
  - A. Acres surveyed by SCC and NRCS staff.

Actual Results			
1999	2000	2001	2002
330,000	300,000	300,000	270,000
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
250,000	250,000	200,000	175,000

B. Surveys completed in Idaho.

Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002	
2	2	2	2	
	Projected	d Results		
2003	2004	2005	2006	
2	2	2	2	

C. Surveys published for use by Idaho citizens.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
5	5	5	5
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
3	3	3	3

- 15. Administer program providing long term, low interest loans for conservation improvements.
  - A. Loan applications considered by Commission members.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
35	50	55	41
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
45	30	30	30

B. Loan applications approved by Commission members.

	Actual Results				
1999	2000	2001	2002		
33	44	50	37		
	Projecte	d Results			
2003	2004	2005	2006		
25	25	25	25		

# Agriculture, Department of Soil Conservation Commission

C. Approved loans serviced.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
155	170	200	250
	Projected	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
255	260	260	260

- 16. Provide grant funding for innovative projects improving cooperative conservation opportunities and abilities.
  - A. Grant applications considered by Commission members.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
24	52	55	20
	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
25	25	25	25

B. Grant applications approved by Commission members.

Actual Results					
1999	2000	2001	2002		
20	42	45	14		
Projected Results					
2003	2004	2005	2006		
18	18	18	18		

C. Grants/Conservation plans serviced.

	Actual	Results	
1999	2000	2001	2002
25	32	35	47
·	Projecte	d Results	
2003	2004	2005	2006
45	40	40	40

#### **Program Results and Effect:**

District and Commission efforts will ensure private landowners are able to obtain technical assistance in the voluntary development and application of conservation plans. Conservation plan implementation reduces the need for mandatory development and application of rules.

Communications and leadership to state and federal agencies diminish the possibility of redundant conservation efforts and more efficient application of programs, finances and technical assistance. Communications and leadership to districts provide local voluntary participation opportunities to local landowners and managers.

Communication efforts result in a more informed staff able to access and utilize other state and federal agency staff and programs. The effect will be more efficient use of personnel resources and program resources by all parties. Needed information will be more easily accessed.

District Supervisors fully aware of their roles and responsibilities are better able to locally direct and capitalize on conservation opportunities in a more efficient manner. The effect will be more efficient use of available funds and less need for state intervention in local natural resource concerns.

All funds which districts secure are public funds and must be accounted for to the citizens of Idaho. Implementation of district programs and use of funds requires liability and bonding protection. Commission administration of liability and bonding insurance assures each district some protection.

As districts implement programs at the local level there is the opportunity to hire and supervise staff. Supervisors need to implement effective personnel supervision. The effect is efficient use of available financial and personnel resources.

Soil Conservation Districts and Supervisors provide leadership in implementing local natural resource conservation measures especially water quality efforts. Many state and federal programs are blended together through efforts of Supervisors, assisted by Commission staff, to bring about cost effective, technically sound, socially acceptable Best Management Practices.

Interagency understanding and cooperation will result in available resources being more effectively utilized.

Voluntary conservation at the local level is the most cost effective, efficient manner of addressing natural resource concerns. Information to and education of the general public is essential to continuing conservation efforts. Monitoring and evaluation of individual practices and programs is essential to agencies ability to develop and implement activities to accomplish the needed resource condition.

Soils information is the primary basis for sound conservation planning. The ongoing effort to complete a modern soil survey of all private and state lands will provide all land managers with a better sense of soil usability and management options.

SCC programs provide innovative funding and more efficient resource and conservation management tools to landowners and users. Increased funding options and ideas exchanged between land users and managers is beneficial to the mutual resources and local economy.

For more information contact Jerry Nicolescu at 332-8650.